

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/876,276		06/16/1997	JAY SHORT	09010/020001 4852	
28213	7590	06/30/2003			
		RE & FREIDENR	EXAMINER		
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SUITE 1100				STEADMAN, DAVID J	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133		121-2133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	08/876,276	SHORT ET AL.					
Advisory Addoll	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David J. Steadman	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rresp ndence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attachment.		·					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>22-34,36 and 38-46</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-21,35,37</u> .	÷						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/876,276

Art Unit: 1652

ADVISORY ACTION

- [1] Claims 19-46 are pending in the application.
- [2] Claims 19-21, 35, and 37 stand finally rejected.
- [3] Claims 22-34, 36, and 38-46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.
- The request for reconsideration in the after final amendment of Paper No. 36, filed May 30, 2003, is acknowledged. The amendment would appear to overcome the rejection previously set forth in the Office action of Paper No. 32. However, the amendment does not place the claims in condition for allowance because the amendment would require further consideration of the claims and a new search for the reasons stated below. See MPEP 714.13 regarding non-entry of after final amendments.
- [5] Applicant requests cancellation of claim 42 at page 2 of Paper No. 36, however the amendment states that claim 41 is cancelled at page 4 of Paper No. 36 and further states that claim 42 remains pending at page 5 of Paper No. 36. Thus, it is unclear as to applicant's intent as to the status of claims 41 and 42. In view of the amendment to claim 19 and the reiteration of claim 42 at page 5 of Paper No. 36, claim 42 is not further limiting and is an improper dependent claim. Also, due to the amendment to claim 19 to limit the claim to a method using clones containing DNA from more than organism, a new search would be required.
- The rejection of claims 19-21, 35, and 37 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abeijon et al. (*Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:5963-5968, 1993) is maintained. Applicant's arguments at pages 6 and 7 of Paper No. 36 have been fully considered. However, in view of the non-entry of the amendment, the rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. The amendment would appear to overcome the rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (703) 308-3934. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX number for this Group is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

REBECCA E. PROUTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER